



Bilingual Education in MPS

August 15, 2024

Mr. Eduardo Galván *Interim Superintendent*



Bilingual Education in MPS

Presenter

Dra. Rocío Trejo
Bilingual Curriculum
Specialist

Department of Bilingual Multicultural Education

Five Priorities for Success



Strategic Plan Driving Our Mission



Bilingual Dual Language



Bilingual Dual Language Goals

- High levels of bilingualism and biliteracy
- High levels of academic achievement in both languages (English and Spanish)
- Sociocultural competence

Bilingual Dual Language Schools

K-5

Allen-Field

Forest Home Avenue

Fratney

Lincoln Avenue

English heavy lifting

Oracy

Strategies

The Bridge

La extensión

K-8

6–8

ALBA

Audubon

Doerfler

Lincoln Center of the Arts

Greenfield

Wedgewood

Hayes

Kagel

Longfellow

9-12

Mitchell

Hamilton (9–10)

Riley (K-6)

Pulaski

Rogers

Riverside (9–11)

Vieau

South Division

Language Allocation

Grade	Spanish-English Allocation	Daily Spanish Instruction	Daily English Instruction	
K5	90% – 10%	210 minutes	30 minutes	
1st	80% – 20%	190 minutes	50 minutes	
2nd	70% – 30%	170 minutes	70 minutes	
3rd	60% – 40%	150 minutes	90 minutes	
4th–5th	50% – 50%	130 minutes	125 minutes	
6th–8th	50% – 50%	140 minutes	140 minutes	
9th–12th	50% – 50%	Designated by course.		

These time allocations align to state and district expectations.

Content and Language Allocation Plan

Content and Language Anotation i lan					
Grade Level(s)	Language Allocation Spanish – English	Content Allocation – Spanish	Content Allocation – English		
K5	90% – 10%	Mathematics	English Language Arts		
1 c+	900/ 200/	Science	English Language Development		

Social Studies

Mathematics

Mathematics

Science

English Language Arts

English Language Arts

English Language Arts

English Language Development

English Language Development

English Language Development

Mathematics

Social Studies

Social Studies

Science

Spanish Language Arts

Spanish Language Arts

Science

1st

2nd

3rd

4th-5th

6th-8th

9th-12th

Science **Social Studies** Spanish Language Arts

80% - 20%

70% - 30%

60% - 40%

50% - 50%

50% - 50%

50% - 50%

Innovation Configuration Map (ICM)

Role	Ideal Use		Acceptable Use	Insufficient Use	
Students	Students self-select from/have choice within oracy strategies or supports to interact in the language of instruction (e.g., partner talk, songs/chants, choral response, TPR, ART, etc.).	Students interact in the language of instruction with the support of an oracy building strategy (e.g., partner talk, songs/chants, choral response, TPR, ART, etc.).	Students are conversing with one another about the content utilizing all their linguistic resources (not adhering to the language of instruction).	Students are conversing— however, not centered on any particular content.	Students are not conversing at any time during the lesson/class.
Teacher	Teacher layers concrete activities (e.g., shared experiences, experiments, sorts, concept attainment, etc.)/oracy building strategies with supports (e.g., sentence prompts, visuals, manipulatives, realia, language partners, etc.).		Teacher uses/refers to a concrete activity/oracy building strategy.	No evidence of a concrete activity/ oracy building strategy.	
	Teacher consistently models and supports the academic language essential to the lesson as they visit each group or pair of students in order to advance students' understanding of content as well as linguistic expression.	Teacher consistently models and supports the academic language essential to the lesson in order to advance students' understanding of content as well as linguistic expression.	Teacher models (oral/written) academic language to facilitate student learning.	Teacher inconsistently or incorrectly models (oral/written) academic language.	Teacher does not model (oral/written) academic language.
	Teacher consistently maintains and	supports the language of instruction.	Teacher <i>maintains</i> the language of instruction.	Teacher is silent, code-switches, orally translates materials and/or instruction intermittently throughout the lesson.	

2018–19

63%

56%

88%

80%

46%

83%

2017–18

59%

56%

80%

69%

17%

58%

2019-20

62%

60%

88%

82%

68%

91%

2022-23

56%

55%

87%

86%

64%

93%

© 2024 Milwaukee Public Schools

2023-24

53%

58%

90%

82%

62%

96%

10

ICIVI Lon	gituain	ai Data

% Ideal/Acceptable Use

Teacher Oracy/Concrete Activity

Teacher Language of Instruction

Classroom Language Indicator

Classroom Reflection of the CLAP

Teacher Academic Language

Student Oracy





Thank You!